

Amendments to the Drawings:

Formal drawings were submitted with a mailing date of April 12, 2002. Approval by the Examiner is respectfully requested.

REMARKS

Currently claims 1-20 are pending in the application and Claims 1-20 stand rejected.

Claim 1 was rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 8, 13 and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 7,003,139. Applicants submit herewith a Terminal Disclaimer disclaiming any portion of a patent issuing on the present invention that would extend beyond the terms of U.S. Patent No. 7,003,139. The Terminal Disclaimer is believed to overcome this rejection.

Claim 1 was rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/079,283. Applicants submit herewith a Terminal Disclaimer disclaiming any portion of a patent issuing on the present invention that would extend beyond the terms of U.S. Application No. 10/079,283. The Terminal Disclaimer is believed to overcome this rejection.


Claims 1-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Matraszek et al. (US Publication No. 2003/0122839)

This rejection is traversed. Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), an invention has to be described in a patent granted on an application. This reference is an application that was published July 3, 2003 and is commonly assigned with the present application. The present application was filed on February 19, 2002. clearly, this is not a reference under section 102 and the present application should not be rejected for obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103(c). Applicants attorney would appreciate a telephone call if there are any remaining issues in this case.

In view of the foregoing, it is believed none of the references, taken singly or in combination, disclose the claimed invention. Accordingly, this

application is believed to be in condition for allowance, the notice of which is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ray L. Owens', written over a horizontal line.

Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration No. 22,363

Raymond L. Owens/djw
Rochester, NY 14650
Telephone: 585-477-4653
Facsimile: 585-477-4646

If the Examiner is unable to reach the Applicant(s) Attorney at the telephone number provided, the Examiner is requested to communicate with Eastman Kodak Company Patent Operations at (585) 477-4656.